



Learning and Regulating with ChatGPT: What Experimental Study Tells Us?

Yizhou Fan **Peking University**

This study is funded by 2023 SoLAR ECR Grant and Peking University, project: Measuring and Scaffolding Hybrid Human-AI Regulation: Comparing Learning Processes Facilitated by ChatGPT and Human Experts

Early Career Research Grant



+ Enhancing Learning with Learning Analytics in an AI Powered World
 + March 2023 -> lots of challenges, potentials and unknowns about GAI
 + FLoRA project -> Self-regulation to Hybrid Human-AI Regulation
 + Exploratory, experimental, comparative research about ChatGPT

+ Note: we are comparing AI <u>and</u> human, but that's not the main aim;
+ The aim is to better understand the relationships of AI <u>and</u> human.

Experimental design

•133 participants (university students), AI in education, writing task

Pre-test 30 mins	Training 10 mins	STAGE1: Reading and Writing 120 mins	Training 10 mins	STAGE2: Revising 60 mins	Post-test 30 mins
		Learning Tools Timer	Video	Group CN Control	
Questionnaire Knowledge Level	Video	Planner (time and strategy) Highlight and Note-taking Search (for annotation)	Video	Group AI Chat GPT	Questionnaire Knowledge Level
Motivation	Viueo	Dictionary (terminology) Learning Materials	Video	Group HE Human Expert	Knowledge Transfer Motivation
		Reading Material Rubric	Video	Group CL <i>Checklist</i>	⇒
		Essay1		Essay2	



Data collection

- Pre-Post test
- Pre-Post survey
- Learning trace data
- Eye-tracking and video
- Screen recording
- Dialogical text
- Post-study interview
- Etc.



Learning performance: essay scores

- Essay version 1 (after stage 1), essay version 2 (after stage 2)
- Essay scores improvement (essay version 2 ~ 1)

Comparison	Mean Difference	Lower Bound(95% CI)	Upper Bound(95% CI)	p-adjusted
cl-ai	-2.200	-4.033	-0.367	0.012
cn-ai	-1.970	-3.858	-0.083	0.037
he-ai	-2.120	-4.049	-0.191	0.025
cn-cl	0.230	-1.725	2.184	0.990
he-cl	0.080	-1.915	2.075	1.000
he-cn	-0.150	-2.195	1.895	0.998

• Al group outperforms CN, CL and HE groups

Learning performance: knowledge gain and transfer

- Knowledge gain (pre-post-test): no significant differences
 - The ANOVA results show no significant differences between four groups in both the pre-test score (F=1.294, p=0.281, η2=0.036) and post-test score (F=0.913, p=0.438, η2=0.030).
- Transfer test (AI in medical science): no significant differences
 - ANOVA results show no significant differences between four groups (F=0.019, p=0.996, η2=0.000).

Intrinsic motivation (IMI, McAuley et al. 1989)

- No significant difference between the four groups was observed:
 - Interst/Enjoyment (F=1.087, p=0.358, η2=0.029);
 - Percieved Competence (F=0.453, p=0.716,η2=0.012);
 - Effort/Importance (F=1.152, p=0.332, η2=0.030) and
 - Pressure/Tension (F=0.546, p=0.652,η2=0.015).
- Although the insignificant were observed, we found:
 - CN group reported lowest interest and enjoyment, and highest pressure and tension
 - CL group reported highest scores for interest and enjoyment, perceived competence and effort, while they reported lowest pressure and tension





cn

ai

he

cl

Comparing learning processes of the first learning stage (2 hours reading and writing) among four groups



cn

ai

he

cl

Comparing learning processes of the second learning stage (1 hour revising) among four groups



What Experimental Study Tells Us? 1st Insight

- + High-intelligence tools (such as ChatGPT) may not stimulate intrinsic motivation to learn and knowledge gain/transfer, but can rapidly improve short-term performance;
- + Potential Metacognition Laziness and over-reliance, and the offloading of (meta)cognitive load can be the two sides of a coin;
 + "AI-empowered learning skills" which optimises performance at the expense of developing genuine human skills (?)
- + One important note: ChatGPT is excellent at utilizing clear rubrics

Fan et al., Beware of Metacognitive Laziness: Effects of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Learning Motivation, Processes, and Performance, British Journal of Educational Technology (under review)

	Stage	Activities	Definition	Code
Try to open this black box?	Diagnosing Question	Diagnosing Question	learners need to determine if there is a problem based on their own learning status and decide if they need help	Diag.Ques
Other	Asking Help	Asking Help.Instrumental	Instrumental help-seeking (learners ask facilitated hints that can assist them in revising their essays independently afterward)	Ask.Instr
		Asking Help.Executive	Executive help-seeking (learners tend to look for answers that can be applied directly)	Ask.Exec
Code the screen recording	Evaluating Help	Asking Help.Avoidant Evaluating Help.Positive	Avoid asking for help (learners try to ask questions but not to send them) Positive evaluation (learners evaluate the help messages and give positive feedback)	Ask.Avo Eva.Pos
Help-seeking		Evaluating Help.Negative	Negative evaluation (learners evaluate the help messages and give negative feedback or not to give any feedback)	Eva.Neg
process model (Nelson-Le Gall, 1981)	Processing Help	Processing Help.Accepting	Accepting help (learners apply the help directly in revis- ing their essays)	Pro.Acc
		Processing Help.Neglecting Processing	Neglecting help (learners do not apply the help directly in revising their essays) Returning to the help (learners look back to some previous	Pro.Neg Pro.Re
Help-seeking process model (Nelson-Le Gall, 1981)	Processing Help	Help.Positive Evaluating Help.Negative Processing Help.Accepting Processing Help.Neglecting Processing Help.Returning	 and give positive feedback) Negative evaluation (learners evaluate the help messages and give negative feedback or not to give any feedback) Accepting help (learners apply the help directly in revising their essays) Neglecting help (learners do not apply the help directly in revising their essays) Returning to the help (learners look back to some previous help message) 	Eva.Neg Pro.Acc Pro.Neg Pro.Re



Comparison of activities between AI and HE Group

Comparison of activities between AI Group and HE Group by Mann-Whitney U

Activities	Mean Ratio in cognitive and behavioral activities (%) (AI, N=18)	Mean Ratio in cognitive and behavioral activities (%) (HE, N=20)	Mean Rank (AI, N=18)	Mean Rank (HE, N=20)	Z	Effect Size (ES)	Sig. (2-tailed)
Ask.Instr	64.75	77.86	22.83	16.50	-1.552	-0.183	.121
Ask.Exec	31.93	6.05	25.28	14.30	-3.141	0.756	.002**
Ask.Avo	3.30	16.08	17.00	21.75	-1.657	0.128	.098
Eva.Pos	2.22	54.55	10.83	27.30	-4.937	-0.778	.000***
Eva.Neg	97.77	45.44	24.22	15.25	-4.937	0.778	.000***
Pro.Acc	64.92	60.41	19.58	19.43	-1.142	0.256	.253
Pro.Neg	8.42	3.24	23.53	15.88	-2.231	0.678	.026*
Pro.Re	26.65	36.33	15.36	23.23	-2.373	-0.417	.018*

* Si Essay Revision, Seneral Inc. X +			- 0 ×
← → C is study.floralearn.cn/moodle/mod/page/view.php?id=61			Q 🕁 🚦
m 通信学习 - yidelea m 3: Future Learning m 6: Post-Task Activ m 5: Essay F	Revision: n 4: Training Modul		
逸德学习 FLORA Engine 我的课程			ДО ра-
×			4
La reserve a la l	5: Essay Revision / General Instructions		Q
* Instructions	MO		,
General Instructions	General Instructions		
Rubric			100
≚ 1: Artificial Intelligen	In this learning session, the goal is to write a vision essay that desc Please describe, in 200 to 400 words, how you envision learning in	ribes the future of education. a school in 2035.	@ 14
1.1 Definition of Artifici	Please consult the materials in this learning environment that prov	ide information about three	
1.2 History of Artificial	important topics for envisioning the future of education in 2035.		0
The Lineary of Participan	1. Artificial intelligence and its application	ChatGPT Tool	
1.3 How does Al work?		differentiation strategies. This could	
1.4 Ethics and risks of	2. Some differentiation is and how it is applied in the classroom c	result in a more inclusive and effective learning environment, where all	
1.5 Supervised machin	3 Ocess of scaffolding and how it optimizes students learn	students are able to reach their full	
1.6 Unsupervised mach	The goal of the learning session is to integrate these topics into in a school in 2035.	potential.	
1.7 Reinforcement lear	At the end of the learning session, you should be able to:	describe What differ	pretinition is and
1.8 Deep Learning	 explain the concepts of artificial intelligence, scaffolding and 	how it is applied in the	ne classroom
× 2: Differentiation in E	 explain how they affect learning 	iv † sentisar	

Processing

1

Ask a question...

· apply them in the context of education

· combine the concepts into a future vision for education

For more information about the criteria of the essay, you are req

In this session, you will revise the essay in 60 minutes. Please n

you should work efficiently. We advise you to focus on the rubric

- 2.1 What is Differentiat ...
- 2.2 Using differentiatio...
- 2.3 Standards for teach...

Send



What Experimental Study Tells Us? 2nd Insight

- + Learners ask AI pragmatic questions -> improve their performance; + Learners experienced lower social cost in the AI group compared to asking the human expert;
- + Learners showed adaptivity when facing different facilitators;
- + Previous theories and models (e.g., Linear help-seeking process) may encounter difficulties in explaining human-AI interaction;
 + Concerns about learners' lack of evaluation and monitoring when seeking executive help from ChatGPT -> scaffoldings

Chen et al., Unpacking Help-Seeking Processes through Multimodal Learning Analytics: A Comparative Study of Learning Facilitated by ChatGPT and Human Expert (drafting); Cheng et al., Asking Questions of Generative Artificial Intelligence Improves Academic Performance (drafting)

But, who did learners prefer to learn with?

- Human-AI preference scale (5 questions, pre and post task)
 - e.g, "Compared to AI, human tutors can better understand the main idea of my article and provide more helpful suggestions."
- Human-AI choice (pre and post task)
 - 1 -> prefer human over AI; 0 -> prefer AI over human
- Preference Alteration
 - -1 indicates participant altered preference from human tutor to AI;
 - 1 indicates participant has altered preference from AI to human tutor;
 - 0 indicates participant's preference remains unchanged.

Pre-test 30 mins	Training 10 mins	STAGE1: Reading and Writing 120 mins	Training 10 mins	STAGE2: Revising 60 mins	Post-test 30 mins
					Î
1st preference	2			2	nd preference
measure					measure

Preference Alteration Score by Group

Mean Score

Polarization of preference change

- Al group who has experienced ChatGPT interaction are more inclined to Al
- HE group who has experienced human expert interaction are more inclined to humans (100% choose humans)
- CN group shows no significant change, very slightly turns to human
- CL group who has not experienced Chatgpt and human expert, surprisingly, also are more inclined to humans (97% choose human)

	Principle	Format of feedback
Basic	Check based on GPT4.0	Highlight spelling grammar errors
Academic	Based on a database of academic norms developed by teachers	Highlight Words/phrases with problematic academic style
Originality	Calculation based on similar- ity of more than 7 words	Highlight repeat sentences
Integration and Elaboration	Division based on Bloom's taxonomy of cognitive domains	Different color highlights represent different levels of processing

What Experimental Study Tells Us? 3rd Insight

ChatGPT and Checklist: what is the main difference?

- + The dialogic format may be naturally more attractive than traditional feedback tools or dashboard;
- + The intelligence level of AI agents or learning tools affects learners' trust and aversion on algorithms/techniques.
- + No matter how the data show the practical of learner-AI interaction, human (teachers) always have irreplaceable human's value, and learners STILL prefer to learn with human expert in our task.

Le et al., Rolling to the edge: investigating learners' preference for learning supports from human-tutor, traditional AI tutor and LLM (drafting)

Interviews to understand learners' perspective

Al group: 33 accepted the interview HE group: 26 accepted the interview

Different values and value alignment

Value	Working definitions	Examples	20			
Empathy	comprehend and respond to learner's intentions and emotions properly	AI27: Even if my question was not clear enough, the teacher still knew what I wanted to ask.	18 - 16 - 14 -			
Care	alleviate the interpersonal stress of learners and demonstrate patience to them	HE03: I hope the teacher will never get tired and judgmental about my questions.	10 -			
Autonomy	Respect for learners' freedom to make their own choices and to monitor their learning process	AI13: I have greater power of selectivity and decision-making and greater freedom.	4			
Intervie	w Better embodied val	ue by teacher (human expert)	0	Empathy	Care	Autonomy
Data	' Better embodied val	ue by AI (ChatGPT)				

Value tensions of learning with AI or human expert

What Experimental Study Tells Us? 4th Insight

Human and AI (e.g., ChatGPT) each have their own unique value;
Learners also dynamically perceive and evaluate affordances of different learning facilitators as they regulate their own learning;
Value as a key ethic issue of AI in education was relatively neglected
Different stakeholders should keep value sensitive design in mind and seek the balance between different values;

Shen et al., Aligning and Comparing Values of ChatGPT and Human as Learning Facilitators: a Value-Sensitive Design Approach, British Journal of Educational Technology (under review)

Let's get back to the CL group

Essay Writing 146 words ×		Checklist Tool
Normal $\stackrel{\circ}{\bullet}$ B I U $\stackrel{\circ}{\bullet}$ x ₂ x ² \models $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\bullet}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$	bint	Basic Academic Originality Integration and Elaboration
With the development of new tech and methods, what will the future of education be like? Arificial intelligence is the ablility of computers to perform tasks that require humans to use their intelligence. It is "an approach to teaching in which teachers proactively modify curricula, teaching methods, resources, learning activities, and student products to address the diverse needs of individual students and small groups of students to maximize the learning opportunity for each student in a classroom". Furthermore, teachers may not only take into account differences in students' cognitive abilities, but also other differences such as in students' motivation or interest for example in addition, there is a concept called "scaffolding". It is to describe how children, with the help of someone more knowledgeable to share	at	Essay Sentence: With the development of new tech and methods, what will the future of education be like? Integration Level: Remember and understanding
and support their problem solving, can perform more complex tasks than they would otherwise be capable of performing on their own.	st r	Essay Sentence: Arificial intelligence is the ablility of computers to perform tasks that require humans to use their intelligence. Integration Level: Evaluate and create
	зеn ity the s'	Essay Sentence: Ilt is "an approach to teaching in which teachers proactively modify curricula, teaching methods, resources, learning activities, and student products to address the diverse needs of individual students and small groups of students to maximize the learning opportunity for each student in a classroom". Integration Level: Apply and analyse
Save Essay	or	Analysis
		cn ai he cl

Trigger and adjust self-assessment

Correlations between SA-Scores and RA-Scores.

CN group	Before Revision	After Revision
Basic Writing skills	0.384ª	0.162
Academic Writing skills	0.155	0.403
Originality	0.179	-0.202
Integration of three topics	0.087	-0.158
Future vision on education	0.206	-0.136
Total score	0.071	-0.453 ^b
CL group	Before Revision	After Revision
CL group Basic Writing skills	Before Revision -0.037	After Revision 0.302
CL group Basic Writing skills Academic Writing skills	Before Revision -0.037 0.009	After Revision 0.302 0.229
CL group Basic Writing skills Academic Writing skills Originality	Before Revision -0.037 0.009 0.246	After Revision 0.302 0.229 0.142
CL group Basic Writing skills Academic Writing skills Originality Integration of three topics	Before Revision -0.037 0.009 0.246 0.495 ^b	After Revision 0.302 0.229 0.142 0.132
CL group Basic Writing skills Academic Writing skills Originality Integration of three topics Future vision on education	Before Revision -0.037 0.009 0.246 0.495 ^b 0.206	After Revision 0.302 0.229 0.142 0.132 0.101

a : p < 0.05, b : p < 0.01

Checklist tools improved self-assessment, and performed better than CN (and AI and HE) groups

Factors that influenced writing: learners' feedback

CL group

What Experimental Study Tells Us? 5th Insight

#Writing analytics feedback tools or dashboards also have unique values; + Adjusting learners' self-assessment is a core part of self-regulated learning and has been under-researched in previous studies; + Low affordability tools (such as bloom taxonomy tool in the Checklist toolkit) are not very useful for self-regulated learning; + When tools provided, regardless of their affordance, learners will subjectively consider tools as the primary factor, thereby inhibiting reflection on their own abilities.

Tang et al., Facilitating Learners' Self-assessment during Formative Writing Tasks using Writing Analytics Toolkit, Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 2024 (accepted)

Discussion: Human and AI(人和人工智能)

At the 2008 Beijing Olympics Opening Ceremony, thousands of actors spent five minutes performing only one Chinese character: 和 (hé)

Conjunction or preposition: and, with

Noun: sum, peace, etc

Verb: mix, agree, join, blend, fellow, etc

Adjective: gentle, moderate, harmonious

Discussion: the rich connotations of "和"

Learning and regulating <u>with</u> ChatGPT, but NOT simply using AI as a tutor to replace human teacher;

- Learning <u>followed</u>, <u>joined</u> and <u>moderated</u> by AI;
- + Future learning and teaching of <u>combining</u> human <u>and</u> Al;
- + <u>Hybrid</u> intelligence: learner-AI, teacher-AI, learner-teacher-AI, etc;
- + The interplay of human control and AI automation (Cukurova, 2024);
- + <u>Scaffolding</u> high human <u>and</u> AI-empowered skills (Gasevic, 2024);
- + Different stakeholders learn, work and live in <u>harmony</u> with artificial intelligence!

Future works: AI-scaffolded dialogue space

≚ Course Introduction Analysis of Clinical Reaso ... Artificial Intelligence SP C ... History collection scoring... [Anatomy] Chest wall s... [Anatomy] Pleura and i... [Anatomy] Blood vesse... Anatomy and Physiolo... [Anatomy and Physiolo... [Physiology] The mech... [Physiology] Nerves rel... [Physiology] pulmonar... [Pathophysiology] Peri... [Pathophysiology] Pul... [Pathophysiology] Cor... [Pathophysiology] Cor... [Chest pain] Common ... [Chest pain] Clinical m.

×

	WEB P Ar	AGE tificial Intelligence SP Con	sultatio	n		
	Web page	set up More-				
	【Scene】 202 [Role] You are a treatment b 【Patient Info	23-06-14 22:37 Emergency an internal medicine emergency doctor. The ambulanced, looking tired and in pain. rmation】	ce brings in a ma	pati	ent Is it just your chest? Do you have radiating pain? 12:24:05	CO
Essay writing tool Heading 1 + B I U & ×	0 words ₂ ײ \⊟ ≔ ≘	는 M 프 프	×	Yan	Is your pain like a tearing sensation?	O
Possible diagnoses Aortic Dissection Preliminary diagnos Differential diagnos	s: Angina, sis: Aortic ses: Myoc	Pulmonary Embolism, Pericarditi Dissection ardial Infarction, Pulmonary Embo	s, olism	Yan	1224:17 It feels like I'm being torn apart. 12:24:19 Round 21 Where did the pain start? 12:24:21	Q
			? (Yan	It started in my chest. 12:24:23 Round 22 After the chest pain, I quickly felt the pain in my back as well. 12:24:25	O
Save composition				?	Ask a question	Send

I'm hiring!

Please consider join our team if you are looking for post-doc position and find our studies interesting! email -> fyz@pku.edu.cn

Society for Learning About Membership events news publications community

Solar Job Board

The go-to place to find positions related to Learning Analytics.

Home ► Community ► Solar Job Board

Thank you!

Yizhou Fan fyz@pku.edu.cn Peking University